

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,094	07/01/2003	Isaac Weiser	03-11987	5817
25189	7590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
CISLO & THOMAS, LLP			GARCIA, ERNESTO	
233 WILSHIRE BLVD SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA MONICA, CA 90401-1211			3679	
		DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/612,094	WEISER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ernesto Garcia	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	igust 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
· <u>-</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3 and 12-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 21, 2006 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Restriction

Claims 1-3 and 12-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicants timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on November 1, 2004.

line 17, and claim 10, line 17.

Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: regarding claims 4 and 10, --further-- should be inserted after "post" in claim 4,

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 4, the recitation "a coil spring" in line 15 asserts a double inclusion of the coil spring already recited in line 6.

Regarding claim 10, the recitation "detached novelty portions" implies that the body, the one or more appendages, and the connecting structures are detached from each other. However, since the appendage has a coil spring extending therefrom than how can the appendage or appendages be detached from the connecting structures when the post, which is part of the connecting structure, is inserted into the coil spring as recited in lines 16-17? Further, the recitation "the post" in line 16 lacks antecedent basis in the claim.

Art Unit: 3679

Regarding claims 5-7 and 9, the claims depend from claim 4 and therefore are indefinite.

Regarding claim 11, the claim depends from claim 10 and therefore is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higdon, 5,375,363, in view of Moore et al., 5,716,161, and Del Mas, 2,760,303.

Regarding claim 4, Higdon discloses, in Figures 5, 6, and 10, a body 12, an appendage 16, and a connecting structure 354. The body 12 has apertures 366 (Fig. 10), A1 (see Figure 6 in the marked-up attachment provided in the Office action mailed on 2/2/2006). The appendage 16 corresponds to one of the apertures 366. The appendage 16 includes at least one flexible connecting member 30. The connecting member 30 comprises a coil spring. The connecting structure 354 comprises a post 354 (see marked-up attachment; Figure 5) having a first end, a middle portion, and a second end. Prongs 364 (Fig. 10) are flexibly coupled to the first end of the post. The prongs 364 extend toward the body 12. One end of the flexible connecting member 30 is connected to the connecting structure 354 and another end of the connecting member 30 is connected to the appendage 16. The coil spring further comprises an L-

shaped configuration (note that the coil spring takes different configurations while it is being moved; see Figure 8). The post further comprising a barrier 56 (see Figure 3). The post **354** is coaxially inserted into a first end of the coil spring **30**. However, Higdon fails to disclose the prongs 364 extending away from the post and toward a second end of the post.

Page 5

Moore et al. teach in Figure 5 prongs that extend away from a post 40 and toward a second end of the post 40 to manually install a connecting structure to apertures and quickly remove the connecting structure from the apertures without using tools (col. 1, lines 33-40. Therefore, as taught by Moore et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the prongs of Higdon with the prongs of Moore et al. to manually install the connecting structure of Higdon to the apertures and quickly remove the connecting structure of Higdon from the apertures without using tools.

Regarding claim 9, the connecting member 30 has a spring constant that allows the appendage 16 to be freely movable with respect to the body responsive to wind.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higdon, 5,375,363, in view of Moore et al., 5,716,161, and Del Mas, 2,760,303, as applied to claims 4 and 9, and further in view of Polk, 2,729,022.

Regarding claim 5, Higdon, as discussed, fails to disclose the one appendage comprising a wing structure. Polk teaches an appendage comprising a wing structure to make a decoy to impart life-like movement of a bird. Therefore, as taught by Polk et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the one appendage comprise a wing structure to make the goose of Higdon life-like.

Regarding claim 6, Higdon, as modified, discloses the connecting structure **354** includes a second end **56** to connect to the appendage **16**.

Regarding claim 7, Higdon, as modified, discloses the appendage **16** comprises an enclosure **48** configured to secure to the second end **56** of the connecting structure **354**.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 3679

regarding claim 10, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a kit comprising detached portions comprising connecting structures and one or more appendages such that the appendages includes at least one flexible connecting member comprising a coil spring comprising an L-shaped configuration. The closest prior art, Higdon, 5,375,363, will teach a kit with detached portions comprising one connecting structure and one appendage. Although it would be obvious to include a second connecting structure as a replacement part, the appendage will comprise a coil spring comprising a straight configuration. Further, there is no motivation, absent applicant's own disclosure, to modify Higdon because there no requirement to make the coil spring L-shaped.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 4-7 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 571-282-7083. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30-5:30. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ES.

E.G.

September 1, 2006

DANIEL P. STODOLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Daniel Ptodola